GLENBROOK HIGH SCHOOLS

Office of the Assistant Superintendent for Educational Services

TO: Dr. Michael Riggle Rosanne Williamson November 3, 2010

RE: NCLB District Improvement Plan

Critical question: Why must the District complete the NCLB District Improvement Plan?

The submission of a NCLB District Improvement Plan is required by federal and state regulations for districts that are in academic status. The district is in its fourth consecutive year of not making AYP which places it in the Federal Improvement Status of Corrective Action Year 1. State Improvement Status is designated as Academic Watch Status Year 1.

Because the district accepts Title I funds, Federal Improvement sanctions apply. The district is now required to participate in a pilot district improvement planning process called *Rising Star*. Through this program the district must submit a district improvement plan to the State. This fulfills both Federal and State requirements. Attached are two documents, the *Comprehensive Plan Report* and *District Improvement Plan Objective Report*, both must be approved by the Board before being submitted to the State.

This plan was developed by the District Improvement Team consisting of central office and building-level administrators. The *Rising Star* web-based comprehensive planning system is comprised of a prescribed list of research-based indicators shown to aid in school and district improvement. Part of the planning process includes a self-assessment of the district's level of implementation of these indicators. The District Improvement Team met to review and determine the level of implementation for each indicator.

Based upon this self-assessment, the District is at *Full Implementation* for the majority of indicators. The following indicators were determined to be at the *Limited Development* level and will be the focus of the District Improvement Team:

- The district provides schools with technology, training, and support for integrated data collection, reporting, and analysis systems.
- The district ensures that key pieces of user-friendly data are available in a timely fashion at the district, school, and classroom levels.
- The district provides a cohesive district curriculum guide aligned with state standards or otherwise places curricular expectation on the school.
- The district provides the technology, training, and support to facilitate the school's data management needs.

There is overlap in some of the indicators related to data systems. The implementation of a data collection, reporting and analysis system would also be part of the district goal of developing a new three-year technology plan.

Glenbrook/Northfield Twp HSD 225

Comprehensive Plan Report Key Indicators are shown in RED.

Continuou	s Improvement				
Essential E	Element - Comprehensive Plann	ning			
Indicator	IA06 - SMART-The district provides schools with technology, training, and support for integrated data collection, reporting, and analysis systems. (1122)				
	Level of Development:	Limited D	Development		
	Index:	6	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)		
	Priority Score:	3	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)		
	Opportunity Score:	2	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)		
	Describe current level of development:	reports a with supp and design assisting Building-I norms in Teachers	ct provides the schools with access to data and vailable through the new student information system port from district technology staff, technology trainers gnated staff members at each school who are and supporting staff in the use of the system. evel RtI teams are analyzing data to establish local the areas of reading, math, writing and behavior. utilize GradeMaster to make instruction and m decisions and review some common local ents.		
Plan	Assigned to:	Rosanne	Williamson		
	How it will look when fully met:	reporting user-frier The distri	ct will establish an integrated data collection, and analysis system that is accessible to teachers, adly and informs curriculum and instruction decisions. act will provide the technology and training in order to this integrated data system.		
	Target Date:	06/30/20	06/30/2012		
	Tasks:				
			management software that integrates data collection, h the district's student information system.		
	Assigned to:	P. Giorga	s, R. Williamson, C. Muir, K. Frandson		
	Target Completion Date	e: 03/31/20	11		
	Comments:				
	2. The district will arrange site visits to schools using data management software that will align with the student information system.				

		Assigned to:	P. Giorgas, R. Williamson, C. Muir, K. Frandson
		Target Completion Date:	02/01/2011
		Comments:	
		he district will provide profess agement system.	ional development to staff in using the selected data
		Assigned to:	R. Williamson, C. Muir, K. Frandson, R. Bretag, R. Bretag, D. Jakes
		Target Completion Date:	12/31/2011
		Comments:	
		he district will identify data av ded to provide greater access	ailable in the student information system and refinements to needed data.
		Assigned to:	P. Giorgas, R. Williamson, C. Muir, K. Frandson
		Target Completion Date:	02/01/2011
		Comments:	
		etermine additional school and mation system.	d district data needs not met by the existing student
		Assigned to:	R. Williamson, C. Muir, K. Frandson
		Target Completion Date:	01/31/2011
		Comments:	
Implement	Percent T	Fask Complete:	0%

Indicator	IA07 - SMART-The district sets district, school, and student subgroup achievement targets. (1123)		
	Level of Development:	Full Implementation	
	Evidence:	The district uses the EPAS system to set target goals reviewing aggregated data and disaggregated data for subgroups not making AYP. Specific targets for subgroups were identified in the previous school improvement plan. District staff works with school staff to identify growth targets for subgroups not making AYP. Our subgroups have fluctuated due to some subgroups making safe harbor and some subgroups dropping below 45.	

Indicator	IA08 - SMART-The school board and superintendent present a unified vision for school improvement. (1124)	
	Level of Development:	Full Implementation
	Evidence:	Board policy establishes the mission and vision statement that includes agreed upon values and expectations relative to the instructional program. The schools formally share their required improvement plans with the Board who reviews and approves formal plans.

Indicator	IA09 - SMART-The superintendent and other central office staff are accountable for school improvement and student learning outcomes. (1125)		
	Level of Development:	Full Implementation	
	Evidence:	The superintendent and other members of the central office staff annually report student progress information to the Board. The Board reviews and provides feedback regarding data related to student learning outcomes. District and school leadership reviews 20% of the curriculum each year. The Board reviews and provides feedback regarding annual curriculum reports related to student learning outcomes.	

Indicator	IA10 - SMART-The district regularly reallocates resources to support school, staff, and instructional improvement. (1126)		
	Level of Development:	Full Implementation	
	Evidence:	Based upon building-level student needs, principals request or reallocate resources and provide a rationale to the district leadership team regarding these programmatic changes. Sitebased decisions are made with direction and support from the district leadership team. Schools use student progress data to identify needs and develop programs to support those needs. This includes the professional development needs of teachers.	

Indicator	IA11 - SMART-The district ensuting timely fashion at the district, see		ey pieces of user-friendly data are available in a classroom levels. (1127)
	Level of Development:	Limited	Development
	Index:	6	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)
	Priority Score:	3	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)
	Opportunity Score:	2	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)
	Describe current level of development:	organize Data use level to a accesses district n is not ye	y the district uses the student information system to and provide access to student assessment data. The has been more concentrated at the administrative assess and inform school improvement. Each school and utilizes available data at different levels. The maintains data that addresses student learning. Data at readily available and easy to access for teachers. The relative to the use of student achievement data has nited.
Plan	Assigned to:	Rosanne	Williamson
	How it will look when fully met:		s and administrators will have access to student ment data, including formative assessment data that

			informs curriculum and instruction decisions.
	Target Date:		06/30/2012
	Tasks:		
	1. T	he district will implement a us	ser-friendly data management system.
		Assigned to:	P. Giorgas, R. Williamson, C. Muir, K. Frandson, R. Bretag, D. Jakes
		Target Completion Date:	12/31/2011
		Comments:	
		The district will provide profess tem.	sional development to staff in using the data management
		Assigned to:	R. Williamson, C. Muir, K. Frandson, R. Bretag, D. Jakes
		Target Completion Date:	12/31/2011
		Comments:	
			ith professional development in the use of student achievement truction decisions that support school improvement.
		Assigned to:	R. Williamson, C. Muir, K. Frandson
		Target Completion Date:	12/31/2011
		Comments:	
Implement	Percent	Task Complete:	0%

Indicator	IA12 - SMART-The district intervenes early when a school is not making adequate progress. (1128)	
	Level of Development:	Full Implementation
	Evidence:	The district provides support for schools in addressing the subgroups in which students are not making adequate yearly progress. The district assists the schools in addressing compliance issues around school choice and supplemental educational services.

Indicator	IA13 - SMART-The district works with the school to provide early and intensive intervention for students not making progress. (1129)	
	Level of Development:	Full Implementation
	Evidence:	The district supports the schools in identifying students at risk of not making adequate yearly progress through articulation with sender districts, freshman placement test information, AIMSweb data, and summer programs to support students in the areas of math, study skills, and school readiness. Subgroups include special education students, low income students and limited English proficient students. As an example, learning centers were established at each school to address student needs.

Indicator	IA14 - SMART-The district recruits, trains, supports, and places personnel to competently address the problems of schools in need of improvement. (1130)		
	Level of Development:	Full Implementation	
	Evidence:	The district has a two-year State-approved new teacher mentor program that provides extensive professional development to new staff using a peer coaching model. The district recruits highly-qualified staff that is able to meet student needs in the ELL program, specifically focusing on those proficient in Spanish or Korean. The district intentionally recruits minority teachers.	

Indicator	IB01 - SMART-The district operates with district-level and school-level improvement teams. (1132)		
	Level of Development:	Full Implementation	
	Evidence:	Representatives of the district improvement team interface regularly with school improvement teams to review data and establish goals for improvement. The district improvement team includes the superintendent, assistant superintendent, principals and associate principals for curriculum and instruction from each school and the district director of special education. District improvement planning occurs on a monthly basis.	

Indicator	IB02 - SMART-The district examines existing school improvement strategies being implemented across the district and determines their value, expanding, modifying, culling as evidence suggests. (1133)		
	Level of Development:	Full Implementation	
	Evidence:	The district improvement team regularly reviews school improvement strategies at each school and assesses their effectiveness. Building-based leadership teams are developed and serve to monitor global student performance across academic and behavioral domains. These teams will coordinate the provision of services, professional development, access to and allocation of resources and monitoring effectiveness of student programming.	

Indicator	IC01 - SMART-The school reports and documents its progress monthly to the superintendent, and the superintendent reports the school's progress to the school board. (1144)	
	Level of Development:	Full Implementation
	Level of Development.	ruii Impiementation
	Evidence:	In the district leadership team meetings which include the building principals, principals regularly share progress on

	school improvement goals through agenda items. The superintendent regularly reports to the Board the progress of schools relative to school improvement plans.
--	--

Indicator	IC02 - SMART-The district designates a central office contact person for the school and that person maintains close communication with the school and an interest in its progress. (1145)		
	Level of Development:	Full Implementation	
	Evidence:	The district has designated the Assistant Superintendent for Educational Services as the contact person for the schools relative to school improvement plan progress. This individual meets with building level-teams to assist in school improvement planning, compliance, monitoring and services as a conduit to the schools relative to information from the state, RESPRO, and NCISC.	

Indicator	IC05 - SMART-The district provides a cohesive district curriculum guide aligned with state standards or otherwise places curricular expectation on the school. (1148)				
	Level of Development:	Limited	Limited Development		
	Index:	4	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)		
	Priority Score:	2	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)		
	Opportunity Score:	2	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)		
	Describe current level of development:	readines insure the district in to insure that all s	The district continues to review standards such as the college readiness standards and the new common core standards to insure that curriculum is aligned with these standards. The district is currently reviewing curriculum in the context of RtI to insure that the curriculum is scientifically research-based, that all students have access to a guaranteed curriculum that has a high degree of rigor.		
Plan	Assigned to:	Rosanne	e Williamson		
	How it will look when fully met:	identify	ools will establish curriculum guides for courses that the content scope, sequence and required skills that ned to state standards.		
	Target Date:	06/30/2	06/30/2013		
	Tasks:				
	1. Schools will identify curric	ulum guides c	urrently in use.		
	Assigned to:	R. Willia	mson, C. Muir, K. Frandson, D. Strong, J. Pearson		
	Target Completion Date	: 06/01/2	011		
	Comments:				
	2. An agreed upon template	will be develo	ped for use by all district schools.		

		Assigned to:	R. Williamson, C. Muir, K. Frandson, Instructional Supervisors
		Target Completion Date:	10/01/2011
		Comments:	
		The curriculum guides will be in strict template.	ncorporated, modified and developed utilizing the agreed upon
		Assigned to:	R. Williamson, C. Muir, K. Frandson, Instructional Supervisors
		Target Completion Date:	06/30/2013
		Comments:	
Implement	Implement Percent Task Complete:		0%

Indicator	IC06 - SMART-The district provides the technology, training, and support to facilitate the school's data management needs. (1149)			
	Level of Development:	Limited L	Development	
	Index:	6	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)	
	Priority Score:	3	(3 - highest, 2 - medium, 1 - lowest)	
	Opportunity Score:	2	(3 - relatively easy to address, 2 - accomplished within current policy and budget conditions, 1 - requires changes in current policy and budget conditions)	
	Describe current level of development:	reports a with suppand designs assisting Building-Inorms in Teachers curriculur assessmenting information assessmente adminimprovemental differential student leaccess for the student leaccess for	ict provides the schools with access to data and vailable through the new student information system port from district technology staff, technology trainers gnated staff members at each school who are and supporting staff in the use of the system. level RtI teams are analyzing data to establish local the areas of reading, math, writing and behavior. utilize GradeMaster to make instruction and medicisions and review some common local ents. Currently the district uses the student on system to organize and provide access to student ent data. Data use has been more concentrated at inistrative level to assess and inform school ment. Each school accesses and utilizes available data int levels. The district maintains data that addresses earning. Data is not yet readily available and easy to in teachers. Training relative to the use of student tent data has been limited.	
Plan	Assigned to:	Rosanne Williamson		
	How it will look when fully met:	all teache	ict has established a data management system that ers utilize to access and enter student achievement informs curriculum and instruction decisions.	
	Target Date:	06/30/20	12	
	Tasks:			
			management software that integrates data collection, h the district's student information system.	

		Assigned to:	P. Giorgas, R. Williamson, C. Muir, K. Frandson, R. Bretag, D. Jakes
		Target Completion Date:	03/31/2011
		Comments:	
		The district will provide profess nagement system.	ional development to staff in using the selected data
		Assigned to:	R. Williamson, C. Muir, K. Frandson, R. Bretag, D. Jakes
		Target Completion Date:	12/31/2011
		Comments:	
			or the needs of the school related to the use and application of address school improvement goals.
		Assigned to:	R. Williamson, C. Muir, K. Frandson
		Target Completion Date:	12/31/2011
		Comments:	
Implement	Percent	Task Complete:	0%

Indicator	or IC07 - SMART-Professional development is built into the school schedule by the distribut the school is allowed discretion in selecting training and consultation that fit the requirements of its improvement/restructuring plan and its evolving needs. (1150)	
	Level of Development:	Full Implementation
	Evidence:	The district identifies school improvement late start days (8 each year). The schools determine areas of focus and plan professional development for these days. Follow-up relative to school improvement days take place during faculty meetings or department meetings. A district-wide staff development day is provided each year and professional development for that day is determined by school needs.

Indicator	IC08 - SMART-Staff development is built into the schedule for support staff (e.g., aides, clerks, custodians, cooks) as well as classroom teachers. (1151)	
	Level of Development:	Full Implementation
	Evidence:	All staff is expected to participate in staff development relative to their role in the organization. Time is built into the schedule and aligned with school and district goals around school improvement.

Indicator	ID01 - SMART-A team structure is officially incorporated into the school improvement plan and school governance policy. (1152)		
	Level of Development:	Full Implementation	
	Evidence:	District and school improvement team members are officially	

		designated and listed in improvement plan documents that are approved by the Board of education. Board policy establishes direction and support for the mission and vision of the district.	
Indicator	ID10 - SMART-The school's Leadership Team regularly looks at school performance data and aggregated classroom observation data and uses that data to make decisions about school improvement and professional development needs. (1154)		
	Level of Development:	Full Implementation	
	Evidence:	The school's leadership team regularly reviews student	

performance data. The Danielson appraisal model is used for teacher evaluation. This model is grounded in the professional development of teachers and identifies specific areas for teacher growth in planning and preparation, instruction, classroom environment and professional responsibilities. Teachers set professional goals following a self-assessment based upon the Danielson rubric. Instructional Supervisors and other administrators regularly set professional goals with teachers and formally and informally observe classroom instruction.

November 03, 2010

Objectives Included in Plan Report, Key Indicators are shown in Red

November 03, 2010

Continuous	s Imp	provement				
Essential E	leme	nt - Comprehensive Plan	ning			
IA06	IA06 - SMART-The district provides schools with technology, training, and support for integrated data collection, reporting, and analysis systems. (1122)					
	Inde	ex:	6 (Priority Score x Opportunity Score)			
Plan:	Assigned to:		Rosanne Williamson			
	Target Date:		06/30/2012			
	How it will look when fully met:		The district will establish an integrated data collection, reporting and analysis system that is accessible to teachers, user-friendly and informs curriculum and instruction decisions. The district will provide the technology and training in order to establish this integrated data system.			
Tasks:						
			district will research and select data management software that integrates data collection and analysis that will interface with the district's student information system.			
		Assigned to:	P. Giorgas, R. Williamson C. Muir, K. Frandson			
		Target Completion Date	: 03/31/2011			
	Comments:					
		2. The district will arrange site visits to schools using data management software that will a with the student information system.				
		Assigned to:	P. Giorgas, R. Williamson, C. Muir, K. Frandson			
		Target Completion Date	02/01/2011			
		Comments:				
	3. The district will provide professional development to staff in us management system.		professional development to staff in using the selected data			
		Assigned to:	R. Williamson, C. Muir, K. Frandson, R. Bretag, D. Jakes			
	Target Completion Date:		12/31/2011			
		Comments:				
	4. The district will identify data available in the student information system and refinements needed to provide greater access to needed data.					
Assigned to:		Assigned to:	P. Giorgas, R. Williamson, C. Muir, K. Frandson			
Target Completion Date:		Target Completion Date	02/01/2011			
Comments:		Comments:				
	5. Determine additional school and district data needs not met by the existing student information system.		nool and district data needs not met by the existing student			
Assigned to:		Assigned to:	R. Williamson, C. Muir, K. Frandson			
		Target Completion Date	01/31/2011			
		Comments:				

IA1		SMART-The district ensures that key pieces of user-friendly data are available in a timely fashion at the district, school, and classroom levels. (1127)					
	Ind	Index:		6	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)		
Plan:	Ass	Assigned to:		Rosanne Williamson			
	Tar	Target Date:		06/30/2012			
	How it will look when fully met:		Teachers and administrators will have access to student achievement data, including formative assessment data that informs curriculum and instruction decisions.				
Tasks:							
		1. The district will implement a user-friendly data management system.			y data management system.		
			Assigned to:	P. Giorgas, R. Williamson, C. Muir, K. Frandson, R. Bretag, D. Jakes			
	Target Completion Date:		12/31/2011				
		Comments:					
		2. The district will provide p system.		ofessional dev	elopment to staff in using the data management		
		Assigned to:		R. Williamson, C. Muir, K. Frandson, R. Bretag, D. Jakes			
	Target Completion Date:		12/31/2011				
	Comments:						
	3. The district will provide staff with professional development in the use of student achieveme data to inform curriculum and instruction decisions that support school improvement.						
			Assigned to:	R. Williamson,	, C. Muir, K. Frandson		
			Target Completion Date:	12/31/2011			
	Comments:						

IC05 -	- SMART-The district provides a cohesive district curriculum guide aligned with state standards or otherwise places curricular expectation on the school. (1148)				
	Ind	ex:	4	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)	
Plan:	Assigned to:		Rosanne Williamson		
	Target Date:		06/30/2013		
	How it will look when fully met:		The schools will establish curriculum guides for courses that identify the content scope, sequence and required skills that are aligned to state standards.		
Tasks:					
		1. Schools will identify curri	. Schools will identify curriculum guides currently in use.		
	Assigned to:		R. Williamson, C. Muir, K. Frandson, D. Strong, J. Pearson		
	Target Completion Date:		06/01/2011		
	Comments:				
		2. An agreed upon template will be developed for use by all district schools.			
		Assigned to:	R. Williamson	, C. Muir, K. Frandson, Instructional Supervisors	
		Target Completion Date:	10/01/2011		
		Comments:			

3. The curriculum guides will be incorporated, modified and developed utilizing the agreed upon district template.				
Assigned to:	R. Williamson, C. Muir, K. Frandson, Instructional Supervisors			
Target Completion Date:	06/30/2013			
Comments:				

IC06 -		T-The district provides I's data management n		ogy, training, and support to facilitate the	
	Index:		6	(Priority Score x Opportunity Score)	
Plan:	Assigned to:		Rosanne Williamson		
	Target Date:		06/30/2012		
	How it will look when fully met:		The district has established a data management system that all teachers utilize to access and enter student achievement data that informs curriculum and instruction decisions.		
Tasks:					
		1. The district will research and select data management software that integrates data collection, reporting and analysis that will interface with the district's student information system.			
	Assigned to:		P. Giorgas, R. Williamson, C. Muir, K. Frandson, R. Bretag, D. Jakes		
	Target Completion Date:		03/31/2011		
		Comments:			
		The district will provide professional development to staff in using the selected data lanagement system.			
		Assigned to:	R. Williamson, C. Muir, K. Frandson, R. Bretag, D. Jakes		
	Target Completion Date:		12/31/2011		
		Comments:			
		3. The district will regularly monitor the needs of the school related to the use and application of he data management system to address school improvement goals.			
		Assigned to:	R. Williamso	n, C. Muir, K. Frandson	
		Target Completion Date:	12/31/2011		
		Comments:			