

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING,
BOARD OF EDUCATION, SCHOOL
DISTRICT #225, COOK COUNTY,
ILLINOIS, July 29, 2013**

A regular meeting of the Board of Education, School District No. 225 was held on Monday, July 29, 2013, at approximately 7:00 p.m. at Glenbrook South High School Student Center, pursuant to due notice of all members and the public.

The president called the meeting to order. Upon calling of the roll, the following members answered present:

Boron, Doughty, Hanley, Martin, Taub, Wilkas

Absent: Shein

Also present: Caliendo, Geddeis, Pryma, Ptak, Riggle, Siena, Wegley, Williamson

APPROVAL OF AGENDA FOR THIS MEETING

Motion by Mr. Taub, seconded by Mr. Martin to approve the agenda for this meeting.

Upon calling of the roll:

aye: Boron, Doughty, Hanley, Martin, Taub, Wilkas

nay: none

Motion carried 6-0.

RECOGNITION OF COMMUNITY VISITORS

None.

BOARD AND SUPERINTENDENT REPORTS

Dr. Riggle stated that summer school just ended. Instructional Supervisors return one week from today. Residency is underway and many people are coming in to prove residency. Free and reduced numbers will be available soon. Residency will be critical in the future when looking at enrollment projections. Dr. Riggle stated that he wants to confirm that enrollment is not larger than predicted.

Dr. Riggle reminded the Board of a Technology Committee meeting Thursday morning at 7:30 a.m. in the Spartan Conference Room at

GBN. A tour of the facility will be part of this meeting. The discussion will focus on a product that allows internet filtering of computers when students are at school and outside of the school network.

Dr. Riggle stated that the turf field at GBN is looking very good and will be ready to go for practice early next week.

Mr. Boron asked about the tenant who moved out of the 3801 West Lake Building.

Dr. Ptak stated that Infodata moved out 6 months ago. This space will be used for residency.

Dr. Riggle stated that this is a temporary location for residency.

Mr. Martin asked if the process for proving residency is the same as that of surrounding districts.

Dr. Riggle confirmed that generally this was the case. He stated that our district may be more thorough than some districts. At times we discover residency problems that the elementary districts did not discover.

Mr. Doughty asked if the district has a policy that covers residency and if the district does more than a paper check.

Dr. Riggle stated that if it is warranted further investigation is done. He gave the example of how returned postage often alerts us to people who have moved out of the district.

Mr. Doughty asked if the criteria include that one or both parents reside in the district or if it is where the child resides.

Dr. Riggle stated that it is where the child resides with a parent or guardian. Homeless children do not need to prove residency.

MOTION TO APPROVE CONSENT AGENDA

Motion by Mr. Doughty, seconded by Mr. Taub to approve the following items on the consent agenda

- 1.) a. the appointment of the following certificated staff as recommended by the assistant superintendent for human resources

APPOINTMENTS

<u>NAME</u>	<u>POSITION</u>	<u>EFFECTIVE</u>	<u>SCHOOL</u>	<u>SALARY</u>
Garbe, David	English	08.19.13	GBS	MA, Step 1, .2FTE
Reichert, Caitlin	Special Education	08.19.13	GBS	BA, Step 1, .4 FTE

- 1.) b. the appointment of the following educational support staff contained in the assistant superintendent for human resources memorandum

APPOINTMENTS

<u>NAME</u>	<u>POSITION</u>	<u>EFFECTIVE</u>	<u>SCHOOL</u>
Sormaz, Sandra	Registrar	07.30.13	GBS
Avila, Geovanny (Repl. Stan Szacilo)	10-Mo. Custodian	08.05.13	GBN

- 2.) a. the resignations/termination of the following certificated staff:

<u>NAME</u>	<u>POSITION</u>	<u>EFFECTIVE</u>	<u>SCHOOL</u>
Lauren DeAngelis	Teacher, PE/HE/DE	07.23.13	GBN
Denis Rodriguez	Teacher, World Lang	07.14.13	GBN

- b. the resignation/termination of the following educational support staff contained in the memorandum dated July 29, 2013.

<u>NAME</u>	<u>POSITION</u>	<u>EFFECTIVE</u>	<u>SCHOOL</u>
Nimke, Jennifer	PR Assistant	08.14.13	ADM
Tomita, Kathy	GBS Nurse	06.07.13	GBS

DeAngelis, Lauren	IA - PE	07.23.13	GBN
Devine, Shannon	IA - SPED	06.07.13	GBS
Kolba, Jennifer	IA - Dean's	06.07.13	GBS
Voss, Jon	IA - Dean's	06.07.13	GBS

3. review of the FOIA request contained in consent agenda item #5.3.

4. the issuance of Vendor Checks Nos. 58782 through 58923 in the amount of \$772,556.04 as listed on the attached checks register dated July 11, 2013.

5. no payroll

6. no imprest

7. the Open and Closed Session Minutes from the July 15, 2013 Regular Board Meeting.

Upon calling of the roll:

aye: Boron, Doughty, Hanley, Martin, Taub, Wilkas

nay: none

Motion carried 6-0.

DISCUSSION/ACTION: APPROVAL OF GBN BIDS FOR WOOD FLOOR AND SUBSTRATE REPLACEMENT

Dr. Riggle reminded the Board that at the last meeting the bid for grouting and demolition was awarded and this work has been completed. Now the floor is ready for the substrate.

Dr. Riggle reviewed the low bid for the floor substrate and surface.

Dr. Ptak stated that there were two numbers as part of the bid, one for the flooring and substrate and the other for the new doors. Eight doors need to be replaced.

Mr. Boron asked if the old doors were metal.

Dr. Ptak confirmed this and indicated that there is a mechanism inside of the doors, so they can't be cut down.

Mrs. Hanley asked why the door bids were higher than the estimates.

Dr. Ptak stated that the estimates were off by a couple thousand dollars.

Mr. Doughty asked if all of the floor bidders were offering the same systems.

Dr. Ptak stated that the bid specifications were met by all three bidders.

Mr. Boron asked for clarification regarding the contractor who was awarded the winning bid for the floor.

Dr. Ptak confirmed that it is the same contractor that had started the refinishing work.

Mr. Doughty asked if the district has experience with the winning bidder.

Dr. Ptak indicated that this was the case and that other districts have had good experiences with them.

Mr. Taub asked if building code dictates that the new doors have to be metal.

Dr. Ptak stated that the new doors will be metal, but will have a wood finish.

Mr. Doughty asked if once the floor is in if there is maintenance that needs to be done.

Dr. Riggle stated that it should be 10-12 years before the floor needs to be refinished. Every year a proper cleaning is done and something more thorough is done at the 4-5 year level. The new floor should last 50-60 years.

Mr. Boron asked if the bid includes striping.

Dr. Ptak indicated that this was the case.

Mr. Boron asked about the timeframe for the completion of the floor.

Dr. Ptak stated that the floor would be done by September 9 and the bleachers would be done by October 5.

Mrs. Wilkas asked for clarification when there are several bids - if the district requires a certain number of references that will be called to evaluate the company.

Dr. Ptak stated that the district's construction management company, Nicholas and Associates will go through a pre-qualification process where the winning bidder has to show that they have done comparable work. References will be checked if Nicholas is not familiar with the winning bidder. The company must be insured and bonded. Dr. Ptak stated that once the bid is awarded Nicholas calls the company to review the specifications.

Mr. Doughty asked if the district has worked with Nicholas for a while.

Dr. Riggle stated that the district has worked with them since 2001-02 and that they have done work for the last eleven to twelve years starting with the GBN pool and cafeteria. He stated that they help in procuring bidders if there is quick turn-around needed on a project.

Dr. Ptak stated that they also help with estimates.

MOTION TO APPROVE GBN BIDS FOR WOOD FLOOR AND SUBSTRATE REPLACEMENT

Motion by Mr. Doughty, seconded by Mr. Taub to approve the bids for the GBN wood floor and door replacement.

Upon calling of the roll:

aye: Boron, Doughty, Hanley, Martin, Taub, Wilkas

nay: none

Motion carried 6-0.

DISCUSSION/ACTION: TALENT-ED PERFORM EVALUATION APPLICATION

Dr. Riggle requested Board approval of the TalentEd Perform agreement pending modification of some of the wording in the agreement. He stated that this is not a major software application such as PowerSchool where more time was spent with the agreement. Dr. Riggle stated that he doesn't like to double the cost of the software with attorney fees.

Mr. Doughty offered to share his views regarding the agreement.

Dr. Riggle stated that there has to be reasonableness in moving forward on agreements. He stated that this product will help with the new requirements of administrator evaluation. The district needs to move forward to streamline the evaluation

process. Dr. Riggle stated that we can't guarantee that we will get everything we want out of the agreement.

Dr. Riggle stated that the company wanted to sell the product "as is" which has been changed in the agreement. He stated that he will review the agreement with Mr. Boron and Mr. Doughty.

Mr. Doughty asked if other districts are using the product.

Dr. Riggle indicated that District 214 and 113 will begin using TalentEd Perform this coming school year.

MOTION TO APPROVE TALENT-ED PERFORM EVALUATION APPLICATION

Motion by Mrs. Hanley, seconded by Mr. Taub to approve the TalentEd Perform contract subject to modifications to the agreement.

Upon calling of the roll:

aye: Boron, Doughty, Hanley, Martin, Taub, Wilkas

nay: none

Motion carried 6-0.

DISCUSSION/ACTION: MEETING MINUTES STANDARDS & PROCESS

Dr. Riggle introduced the discussion of the current Board meeting minutes process. He stated that this is covered under policy 2030.

Mr. Boron and Mr. Doughty expressed concern with regard to a summary of Board discussion being balanced with a certain level of detail in the minutes.

Dr. Riggle stated that the Board Recording Secretary would be under the supervision of the Board Secretary.

Mr. Boron stated that he did not have a concern about confidentiality and concurred with the suggestion that the Recording Secretary report to the Board Secretary.

Dr. Riggle provided a history of the Board secretary position. In the past, recordings were transcribed by the superintendent's secretary.

Dr. Riggle stated with the transition to a recording secretary he will obtain feedback from the Board regarding meetings minutes.

Dr. Riggle stated that meeting minutes belong to the Board. He indicated that he wants to be transparent and believed that the district can do business better and do a better job with the job duties of the Assistant Superintendent for Educational Services.

Mr. Boron stated that if there is consensus, he suggested that the Board authorize the administration to move forward in hiring a recording secretary so that this could be in place as the school year begins.

Mr. Taub asked about the recording of the minutes and raised the issue of the Board's ability to correct the minutes when inaccuracies occur.

Dr. Riggle stated that the minutes have been very accurate. He stated that the Board does have the ability to revise the minutes in the event something is not reported correctly. Minutes are easily changed. Dr. Riggle suggested looking at policy 2030 and changing this policy to reflect a recording secretary. Dr. Riggle stated that it will take the administration a little time to get this position in place.

DISCUSSION/ACTION: ADOPTION OF TENTATIVE BUDGET

Mrs. Siena referenced the memo in the budget binder. She reviewed how the budget is built and how it is organized.

Mr. Doughty asked how decisions are made regarding what is in the building budgets compared to the district budget.

Mrs. Siena stated that the building budgets are based upon enrollment and square footage. Salary and benefits are the majority of the district budget. There are some accounts in the building for overtime.

Dr. Riggle gave the example of small building projects that cost less than \$100k, which are the building's responsibility. He stated that major construction projects are handled by the district. Sick days are covered by the district budget, but teachers attending conferences are part of the building budget.

Mrs. Siena reviewed section one which provided a summary of the budget. She reviewed items touched upon at the Finance Committee Meeting such as formalizing the insurance timeline. Meaningful claims data will be brought to the November and February Finance Committee Meetings. Mrs. Siena stated that she will also bring information in August regarding claims data and in November showing a full-plan year.

Mr. Taub asked why the plan year and the fiscal year are different. He suggested making the plan year consistent with the fiscal year.

Mrs. Siena explained that the plan year is tied to the start of school when employees begin their employment.

Mr. Taub asked why insurance renewal is done in April rather than closer to the end of the year so that the administration has better claims data.

Mrs. Siena stated that the SSCRM renewal comes in July and becomes live for Glenbrook in September. The premium and plan changes go into effect in September.

Mr. Taub stated that this seemed convoluted.

Dr. Riggle stated that the district needs an advertising window in May so that people can be aware of plan changes and make choices for the following year.

Mrs. Siena stated that many support staff are hired in August and these individuals would be coming in after the plan year begins if the calendar was shifted.

Mr. Taub stated that the largest expense is claims and the district is being forced to make decisions based upon claims that are known in April. There are four other months of claims data that we don't have.

Mrs. Siena stated that the Board would have to bargain with the unions to make a change in the open enrollment window.

Mr. Taub stated that claims are \$500k to \$600k per month and that the district can't make as good of a prediction without all of the claims data.

Mrs. Siena stated that there will always be immature claims that are not known. She stated that she has to build the budget now so she needs to use data that is available to build the budget. Mrs. Siena stated that she is building claims on a fiscal year basis.

Dr. Riggle stated that the administration wants to have enough of a window for Board input before information goes to the Cost Containment Committee. He stated that he wants the Board to have a pro-active say in what is being done with insurance plans.

Mr. Taub stated that there is a fiscal clock, a claim clock and a budget clock. He indicated that he now has a better

understanding of the timeline and appreciated the calendar that was developed.

Mr. Doughty asked if claims data are presented to the Board.

Mrs. Siena stated that in December and February she will bring claims data and recommendations to the Board. In April she will bring plan changes to the Board prior to them going to the Cost Containment Committee.

Mr. Taub stated that having the Board see claims data every three to four months is better than seeing claims only once a year.

Mr. Doughty asked about what happens when the administration goes to the Cost Containment Committee.

Mrs. Siena stated that the Board will see what will be suggested in the Cost Containment Committee and what the end result is.

Mrs. Siena reviewed the budget process starting with enrollment data from October. For the FY13 budget, the district did 2.9 % better than projected. Mrs. Siena reviewed projections and factors that are used in building the budget.

Mrs. Siena stated that the district came in about \$1M under in property tax collections. More taxes were refunded. She stated that the district didn't collect everything that was budgeted for. There are a variety of factors that influence this. She stated that there could be a residual coming this fall.

Mrs. Siena stated that Federal grants are caught-up. CPPRT came out in the black.

Mrs. Siena stated that the expenditure side came in under budget by \$1.8M.

Mrs. Siena stated that General State Aid (GSA) is included in the budget. She stated that the district will see \$1.1M in GSA, which will come in on time at 89% funded.

Mr. Taub asked about contingencies in the budget.

Mrs. Siena stated that there are standard stock contingencies except for the newer contingency to cover TRS in the event of pension reform legislation.

Mr. Taub asked if a contingency was necessary for insurance.

Mrs. Siena stated that this was not the case.

Mrs. Siena reviewed the revenue side of the budget. She stated that there is an estimated \$6.6M increase in real estate taxes because of the increase in C.P.I.

Mr. Doughty asked about the 2006 projection column.

Mrs. Siena stated that this was from the 2006 projection that was made at that time through 2016 in conjunction with the referendum. She stated that the district will have to decide on a new benchmark after 2016.

Mrs. Siena reviewed expenditures. She stated that the district came in under budget in salaries by 0.8%. Mrs. Siena reviewed where the district came in under budget & over budget. One area of over budget was in special education. Overall there was a \$3.1M surplus in the FY13 budget.

Mr. Taub asked if benefits included pensions.

Mrs. Siena confirmed that this was the case.

Mr. Doughty observed that the district is consistently coming in under budget.

Mrs. Siena stated that this is always the focus so that we consistently have a balanced budget.

Mr. Doughty stated that to have a balanced budget revenue and expenditure should be equal.

Mrs. Siena stated that many factors impact this. The district has kept tight reins on staying within the budget.

Mr. Martin stated that over the years the tradition has been rather conservative. Some would say that we don't have to be that conservative, but the district has taken a responsible, conservative approach to budgeting.

Mr. Martin asked Mr. Doughty if there are areas that he wanted to consider adjusting in terms of the assumptions made in building the budget.

Mrs. Siena stated that the district can control the escalation factor, but cannot maintain healthy reserves without showing a surplus every year.

Mr. Doughty clarified that the only way to keep it even is to create a surplus every year.

Mr. Taub stated with a growing population that may cost \$7M additional per year can cause reserves to diminish.

Mr. Doughty stated that it is the taxpayers' money and the Board needs to be transparent as stewards of the taxpayers' money. The goal is to be balanced without having the fund balance drop.

Mrs. Siena stated that the goal is not to make money, but to be prudent fiscal stewards of the taxpayers' money. The district wants to provide the best programs and services to our students. We have a high bond rating as a result of being fiscally conservative. She stated that the reserve level dropped slightly from last year.

Mr. Doughty asked if the goal is to hit the 33% reserve level or if this needs to be exceeded.

Mrs. Siena stated that 33% is a danger zone for most districts of our size.

Dr. Riggle stated that the State minimum is 25% of operating budget held in reserve otherwise the district is on the Financial Watch List. He stated that the Board promised that there would be no need for another referendum until at least 2016. At this point projections take the district out until 2018. Dr. Riggle stated that he would like to never have to go back for a referendum. He stated that he doesn't want a downhill slide that can't be reversed and doesn't want to have to go for a general rate increase. Dr. Riggle stated the need to continue to talk about the budget philosophically every year. He stated that the recommended reserve level is 70-80% for a district our size and we are at that level.

Mr. Doughty spoke of the need to balance fiscal responsibility with being responsible for the education of our students.

Dr. Riggle stated that we don't want to keep reserves so low that things can't be maintained. The district has debt to retire.

Mrs. Siena stated that the district has not issued any debt for 14 years, but has restructured debt.

Dr. Riggle stated that if the economy improves and interest rates go up, this will be helpful.

Mr. Taub stated that there has been less revenue to count on because of the interest rate drop - in spite of this, it is amazing the cost savings that have occurred.

Mr. Doughty indicated that the philosophical question is whether reserves are being maintained to run the district in a sound fashion, especially if 33% is the minimum.

Mrs. Siena reviewed the fund balance chart. There was a \$3.1M surplus for the FY13 budget. The district has reserves of 67.9%. The district has done 27.8% better than the 2006 benchmark. She stated that she will bring the Board any material changes in the tentative budget in the fall.

Mr. Taub asked what the \$43M represented.

Mrs. Siena stated that this was the estimated fund balance in the 2006 projection.

Mrs. Siena reviewed factors outlined in her memo. She stated that benefits have seen a significant increase from actual to budget. There was an IMRF increase of \$160k based upon salary increases and the rate for IMRF. Mrs. Siena stated that the district has been on a phased in rate and will go to the actuarially calculated rate as of January 2014 because the fund itself has recovered.

Mrs. Siena explained the TRS contingency because the potential bill on pensions may have unanticipated changes.

Mrs. Siena reviewed increases in the purchased service budget.

Mr. Doughty asked why there was an increase in the legal category.

Mrs. Siena stated that the district has some issues pending.

Mr. Taub asked how Mrs. Siena anticipated the amount for workman's comp.

Mrs. Siena stated that this is because SSCRMP increased the reserve level.

Mrs. Siena reviewed the historical rolling budget trend for Glenbrook Aquatics. This is a fee-based program that runs year-round. The program currently has a \$4,200 surplus. Mrs. Siena stated that the rolling trend over the last 11 years is an \$11k surplus per year on average.

Mr. Taub asked if the district acts as a bursar for the organization.

Dr. Riggle stated that the district hires coaches and sets coaching rates. The program is budgeted out so that fees

collected make it a cost-neutral program. He stated that this is the lowest cost and most efficiently run program on the North Shore.

Mrs. Siena referenced the projection chart showing the impact of recent contract negotiations. She stated that the impact of changing the formula raise shows how cost-effective negotiations were.

Mr. Doughty asked if the recently negotiated contract runs through 2016.

Mrs. Siena confirmed that this was the case.

She reviewed the overall projection, not just based upon negotiations. Mrs. Siena stated that the projection assumes that staffing will grow by formula based upon a growing enrollment. She referenced a five-year projection.

Mr. Boron stated that in ten years of being on the Board, there was never a negative balance at the end of the year. He stated that when you see the line heading down you are heading toward deficit spending.

Mr. Boron stated that the enrollment bubble is not a bubble, but GBS enrollment will increase and be maintained which makes the line very relevant.

Mrs. Siena stated that the assumption is that interest rates are where they are now. The district is not about making money, but holding the reserve level consistent.

Mrs. Siena referenced a chart showing enrollment increases compared to reserve level decline and at what point the district will be inadequately funding the enrollment trend.

Mr. Doughty asked for clarification about the chart given that it was comparing people to money.

Mrs. Siena stated that there is an exponential increase in one and an exponential decrease in the other. The chart shows where we are now and when we will get below the 33% reserve level.

Mr. Martin suggested that different units are needed to do this comparison.

Mrs. Siena stated that the chart is still showing the rate of growth to the rate of decline.

Mr. Boron stated that he was looking at what point the two factors were going to intersect and that will be in 2017.

Mrs. Siena stated that our level of service delivery is jeopardized at the point they intersect.

Mrs. Siena reviewed the impact of sequestration on the Build America Bonds. The full subsidy was not given. Over 8% of the rebate is being held. The district would be shorted on subsidy by about \$248k. Mrs. Siena stated that there is contingency money in the bond fund, so the shortfall could be covered out of debt service. Sequestration may be repealed.

Mrs. Hanley asked if the district will get back what was in arrears when sequestration is lifted.

Mrs. Siena stated that the district will not get back what was lost because of sequestration.

Mrs. Siena stated that the 3801 building will get a partial property tax exemption from Cook County.

Mr. Boron stated that the administration has done a masterful job of management. The principals hire as economically as they can. The administration has done an outstanding job.

Mr. Doughty asked about special education going up by nearly \$1M this summer.

Mrs. Siena stated that special education tuition is \$975k over budget. This is because of enrollment growth at NSSED and transition. She stated that special education is a volatile area.

Mr. Doughty asked if the budget will sufficiently cover this.

Mrs. Siena stated that the administration is cognizant of the new growth level and tries to have sufficient funds to cover the expense. Eventually funds get reimbursed.

Mr. Doughty asked about the building budget funding formula.

Dr. Pryma stated that this is a reasonable formula that has allowed the buildings to live within their means. Dr. Wegley concurred.

MOTION TO ADOPT OF TENTATIVE BUDGET

Motion by Mr. Taub, seconded by Mrs. Hanley to adopt the tentative budget.

Upon calling of the roll:

aye: Boron, Doughty, Hanley, Martin, Taub, Wilkas

nay: none

Motion carried 6-0.

**DISCUSSION/ACTION: APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING
PERMANENT INTER-FUND TRANSFERS FOR DEBT SERVICE CAPITAL
PROJECTS PURPOSE**

Mrs. Siena reviewed the reason for the resolution which allows the district to fund capital projects and debt certificates for the 3801 West Lake building. The resolution provides for the transfer of operating funds into non-operating funds.

**MOTION TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING PERMANENT
INTER-FUND TRANSFERS FOR DEBT SERVICE CAPITAL PROJECTS
PURPOSE**

Motion by Mrs. Hanley, seconded by Mr. Martin to approve the resolution authorizing permanent inter-fund transfers for debt service and capital projects purpose.

Upon calling of the roll:

aye: Boron, Doughty, Hanley, Martin, Taub, Wilkas

nay: none

Motion carried 6-0.

**DISCUSSION/ACTION: APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS WITH
ALLSTATE AND DISTRICT 31**

Dr. Riggle stated that the Board needs to make a motion for approval of the settlement agreements and ratification of Joel DeTella's signature on behalf of the district.

**MOTION TO APPROVE THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS WITH ALLSTATE
AND DISTRICT 31**

Motion by Mrs. Wilkas, seconded by Mr. Taub to approve the settlement agreement and to ratify the signature of the district's legal counsel on the agreement.

Upon calling of the roll:

aye: Boron, Hanley, Martin, Taub, Wilkas

nay: none

abstain: Doughty

Motion carried 5-0.

DISCUSSION/ACTION: PROPOSED GLEN DEVELOPMENT

Dr. Riggle referenced the traffic studies relative to the Glen development. Two independent studies were done. These studies are not ready to be released publically. He asked if there was any follow-up from the Board relative to this issue.

Mr. Boron stated that until more information on the traffic study is available, it is difficult to further discuss this item without any new information.

Mr. Doughty asked if new information would be forthcoming.

Dr. Riggle stated that he was not sure when the study will be released and it may not be until the first or second week in August. He stated that he will continue to monitor the situation and let the Board know as soon as the study is available.

MISCELLANEOUS TOPICS

Mr. Taub requested information relative to fees collected by Blue Cross & Blue Shield based upon claims. He stated that he would like to know what the percentage is and what it represents in terms of dollars.

Dr. Riggle asked Mrs. Siena to follow-up on this question.

REVIEW AND SUMMARY OF BOARD MEETING

Mr. Boron reviewed the following:

The Board approved the wood floor and doors at GBN.

The TalentEd agreement was tentatively approved.

The Board discussed a proposal by the administration for a recording secretary.

The Board approved the tentative budget and inter-fund transfers.

The Settlement agreements were approved with Allstate and District 31 for real estate taxes.

18
7/29/13

The next meeting is August 12.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion by Mrs. Hanley, seconded by Mr. Martin to adjourn the meeting at approximately 9:09 p.m.

Upon call for a vote on the motion, all present voted aye.*

Motion carried 6-0.

* Boron, Doughty, Hanley, Martin, Taub, Wilkas

CERTIFIED TO BE CORRECT:

PRESIDENT - BOARD OF EDUCATION

SECRETARY - BOARD OF EDUCATION

UPCOMING BOARD MEETINGS:

Upcoming meetings will be held at
Glenbrook South High School
Student Center
4000 W Lake Avenue
Glenview, IL 60026

Monday, August 12, 2013 7:00 p.m. Regular Board Meeting