

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING,
BOARD OF EDUCATION, SCHOOL
DISTRICT #225, COOK COUNTY,
ILLINOIS, FEBRUARY 25, 2013**

A regular meeting of the Board of Education, School District No. 225 was held on Monday, February 25, 2013, at approximately 7:01 p.m. at Glenbrook North High School Library, pursuant to due notice of all members and the public.

The president called the meeting to order. Upon calling of the roll, the following members answered present:

Boron, Doughty, Hanley, Martin, Regalbuto, Shein, Taub

Absent:

Also present: Caliendo, Nimke, Pryma, Riggle, Siena, Wegley, Williamson

APPROVAL OF AGENDA FOR THIS MEETING

Motion by Mr. Boron, seconded by Mr. Doughty to approve the agenda for this meeting.

Upon calling of the roll:

aye: Boron, Doughty, Hanley, Martin, Regalbuto, Shein, Taub

nay: none

Motion carried 7-0.

STUDENTS AND STAFF WHO EXCEL

None.

RECOGNITION OF COMMUNITY VISITORS

None.

BOARD AND SUPERINTENDENT REPORTS

Dr. Riggle reported on a great GBS Variety Show.

Dr. Riggle mentioned that GBS is hosting the boys' basketball regional and provided the playing times and locations for GBN and GBS boys' basketball teams.

Dr. Riggle stated that he will issue a message to the Board regarding the Techny Music Festival. There is reserved seating for Board members and administrators.

Dr. Riggle mentioned that the Glenbrook Foundation is hosting a special event on Saturday, May 4 in conjunction with a performance of the Glenbrook Musical. There will be a fundraising dinner before the musical. Tickets are available for Board members. The dinner will be in the Lyceum at GBS. Dr. Riggle will send more information to Board members regarding this event.

Mrs. Hanley reported that the Glenbrook Foundation is involved in Stand for the Silent. This group was formed by parents whose son committed suicide after being bullied. The program is in association with the Spartans Connect event at GBN. Students wanted to bring this program to the community. The Foundation will help with publicity and the Foundation is the sponsor of this event. There will be a program on Tuesday, March 17 at 7:00 p.m. in the CPA at GBN. This will be open to every member of the community.

Mrs. Hanley reported that The Glenbrook Foundation is providing financial support in sponsoring the college fair this year. This will be hosted by GBN on April 11.

Mrs. Hanley also reported that some of the Glenbrook Foundation Trustees were members of the former cast of previous West Side Story productions in the district and they may participate in a related performance the evening of the foundation event.

Mrs. Hanley thanked Dr. Riggle for all of his support for the Glenbrook Foundation over the years.

Mr. Doughty stated that he loved the GBS Variety Show.

Mr. Shein stated that his oldest daughter was in the 2000 production of West Side Story at GBS. He attended both Variety Shows and enjoyed both. It was the 15th Variety Show that he attended. He commented on the tight integration of technology in the shows. It was a great set, wonderful production and nice to see the multi-generational attendance by community members.

MOTION TO APPROVE CONSENT AGENDA

Mr. Boron requested that Consent Agenda item #7 be removed from the consent agenda and voted on separately. He stated that since he was not in attendance at the last meeting he was not comfortable approving the minutes from the last meeting.

Motion by Mr. Boron, seconded by Mr. Doughty to approve the following items on the consent agenda

- 1.) a. the appointment of the following certificated staff as recommended by the assistant superintendent for human resources

APPOINTMENTS

<u>NAME</u>	<u>POSITION</u>	<u>SCHOOL</u>	<u>SALARY</u>
Guzik, Kellye	Instructional Supervisor	GBN	MA, Step 10, 203 day administrator

- 1.) b. no appointment of educational support staff
- 2.) a. no resignations/termination of certificated staff
b. no resignation/termination of educational support staff
- 3.) the Board of Education review of the FOIA request contained in consent agenda item #6.3.
- 4.) the issuance of Vendor Checks Nos. 56726 through 56897 in the amount of \$400,068.21 as listed on the attached checks register dated February 25, 2013.
- 5.) the issuance of the electronic wire transfers for credit union, TRS, federal taxes, employee and employer portion of FICA and Medicare taxes and state taxes, and payroll check numbers 63743 through 63747, 63749 thru 63762, 68610 through 68828 totaling \$107,904.62. With employees' Federal, State, and FICA/Medicare withholding taxes of \$1,033,596.52 TRS contributions of \$431,463.23 other deductions of \$417,920.20 and direct deposit of \$3,530,717.90 the gross payroll for the month of January was \$5,515,287.72. TRS employer contribution was \$425,741.62 and employer matching FICA and MED was \$150,890.36.
- 6.) no imprest
- 8.) Certified Staff Authorization for 2013-2014 as contained in consent agenda item # 6.8
- 9.) Special Leave Request as contained in consent agenda item # 6.9
- 10.) the acceptance of the following gifts:

Gift From	Amount/ Item	School	Department	Account
Mr. Irwin Liberman	1998 Chrysler Town & Country LXI Mini Van VIN: 1C4GP64L4WB652313	GBN	CAREER & LIFE SKILLS, AUTO SHOP	NA
Goodman Family Foundation	\$3,000.00 (Grant)	GBS	STUDENT TO STUDENT	830992
Richard and Suzanne Shore	\$30.00	GBS	GLENBROOK UNITED	810900
Illinois State Council	\$2,000.00	GBS	GLENBROOK UNITED	810900
Alice J. Goodspeed	\$800.00 for scholarship	GBS	GOODSPEED SCHOLARSHIP	830848
GBS Booster Club	\$500.00	GBS	GLENBROOK UNITED	810900
The Cheesecake Factory	\$3,500.00	GBS	MUSIC CHOIR SCHOLARSHIP	830720
Steve Mazza Miracle Basket Ball	\$3,000.00	GBS	GRACE KASKIE SCHOLARSHIP	830855

Upon calling of the roll:

aye: Boron, Doughty, Hanley, Martin, Regalbuto, Shein, Taub

nay: none

Motion carried 7-0.

MOTION TO APPROVE CONSENT AGENDA ITME # 6.7

Motion by Mrs. Hanley, seconded by Mr. Doughty to approve item 6.7 from the consent agenda.

6.7) the Open and Closed Session Minutes from the February 11, 2013 Regular Board Meeting.

Upon calling of the roll:

aye: Doughty, Hanley, Martin, Regalbuto, Shein, Taub

nay: none

abstain: Boron

Motion carried 6-0.

DISCUSSION/ACTION: FY13/14 Student Fees

Dr. Riggle stated that student fees were discussed at the Finance Committee meeting. There may be some adjustments coming as a result of questions regarding fees, but Mrs. Siena is still gathering additional information.

Mrs. Siena stated that the only change in fees is assessing a materials/technology fee of \$30. She provided a breakdown of how the technology fee was determined. The new fee is intended to offset the district's cost for technology. This new combined fee results in an increase from \$15 (old materials fee) to \$30 (new combined materials/technology fee).

Mrs. Siena was asked at the Finance Committee meeting to put a survey out to surrounding districts and heard back from 4 of 9 districts. A similar fee ranging from \$15-\$30 is being charged by some districts for materials and technology. Some districts have a registration fee from \$100 to \$450. Some of those schools have both a technology fee and registration fee.

Mr. Doughty asked about pre-school tuition.

Mrs. Siena explained the preschool programs at GBN and GBS as part of the child development curriculum. She explained the difference in registration fees. There is no recommended increase to preschool program tuition.

Mr. Doughty asked if these were break-even programs.

Mrs. Siena stated with the restructuring of the GBN program, this actually generated revenue.

Mr. Martin asked how Mrs. Siena arrived at the technology fee.

Mrs. Siena explained that the intent was to offset the cost of technology.

Mr. Martin asked why Mrs. Siena was not proposing a \$10 or \$20 additional cost.

Mrs. Siena stated that she did not want to raise fees too much, but was considering a modest increase. She did not want to burden parents with too great an increase.

Mr. Taub stated that the community demands a high level of technology and costs continue to rise.

2/25/13

Mr. Martin asked about the Board's philosophy on fees. He asked about free and reduced fees.

Mrs. Siena stated that fees are waived or reduced for families of students on free or reduced-price lunch.

Dr. Riggle stated that technology fees have been charged by other districts. This gives the Board the opportunity to discuss their philosophy about student fees.

Mr. Martin suggested the question of how to pay for the cost of education. This is a way to avoid burdening the taxpayers. He stated that he is not sure that there is a concise statement of the Board's philosophy on fees.

Mrs. Siena stated that she looks at this as a usage fee. The administration is looking at a non-property tax source of revenue such as fees. Some fees are designed to defray some of the district's costs. The fee should mirror what is being charged for materials. This is comparable to what other districts are charging.

Mr. Martin stated that this is a constant source of discussion among parents. We are trying our best to keep costs low. He stated that he hears about the cost of buses and books a lot. Now we are giving parents a technology fee.

Mrs. Siena stated that this item will be on consent at the next meeting. This is the only increase.

Mr. Martin asked how District 225 fees compared to other districts. He asked if the district was in the middle or moderate.

Mrs. Siena stated that she believed that the district would fall in the middle because we do not have a registration fee as many districts do.

Mr. Shein stated that parents are paying for services in the preschool. He asked about pay-to-play fees.

Dr. Riggle stated that a number of districts have an activity fee. We have a student activity pass that is voluntary. This gives students admission for the year to games and student activity events. Some districts have pay-to-play and there is a fee to be involved in athletics or activities. Dr. Riggle stated that the district has never done that and he is proud that we have never done that.

Dr. Riggle stated that some districts have gone to those types of fees and provided examples. This is done differently in different districts. He stated that the administration will be able to give the Board more information on comparative fees shortly.

Dr. Riggle stated that some districts are getting involved in tablets that now incorporate some textbooks. Some are paying for the tablet. Dr. Riggle stated that the publishing industry is not moving at a great clip. This is evolving. He stated that the district will look at electronic devices later this spring. Dr. Riggle stated that he will bring something of more substance with comparative fees on March 18.

Mr. Shein requested comparative data from other districts at the next Board meeting.

Mrs. Siena stated that she will provide this information to Dr. Riggle.

Mr. Shein asked if it is typical for districts to publish their fee structure on their website.

Mrs. Siena stated that this was not the case.

Mr. Martin asked if there are any districts that minimize fees or have a reduced number of fees.

Dr. Riggle stated that some districts have different packaging of fees.

Mrs. Siena stated that more districts are going towards pay-to-play.

Mr. Martin stated that in the past four years the Board has not had a discussion about fees in general.

Mr. Shein stated that this was discussed at a Board retreat several years ago. Much of the discussion was about books, driver education and parking.

Mr. Boron stated that the driver education discussion was relative to what private companies are charging. The district's fees have gone up in this area.

Dr. Riggle stated that there is a bill that may allow schools to outsource driver education.

Mr. Shein asked if the district was fairly close to break-even on driver education.

2/25/13

Mrs. Siena stated that the district is not really at break even. The state is looking at waivers in general. The district has been at the waiver maximum since 2010-11.

Mr. Taub spoke about the importance of having inclusivity. There is a choice as to whether you play. Technology is part of school that every student is accessing. This is a saving grace for students who are on free lunch.

Mr. Martin suggested fees as a potential topic for further Board discussion. He stated that the Board can ask what guides us in setting fees. He suggested setting this as a topic for discussion in the future.

Mr. Martin asked about the impact of pay-to-play in other districts. He asked if districts that have gone to pay-to-play have seen participation rates go down.

Mr. Pryma stated that he sees a concern about the political impact if students are cut from a team.

Mr. Doughty asked if District 225 had anything that was pay-to-play.

Dr. Riggle stated that the district does not have students pay for the opportunity to participate, but families do pay for things once students become part of an organization such as music rental fees.

Mrs. Siena stated that once we learn what other districts are doing that information will be provided.

Mr. Shein asked if the district has surveyed parents about fees. He stated that we hear about the cost of books.

Mr. Martin asked if there are complaints about bus fees.

Dr. Riggle stated that there have been some who complain about bus fees. He stated that the administration is making the effort in the current economy to hold the line on fees.

Mrs. Siena stated with transportation things are changing with the state. The state change on transportation did not impact our district because we are not dependent upon the state for money for transportation.

Dr. Riggle stated that parents used to be able to file for reimbursement on bus.

Mr. Shein stated that the district used to run transportation at a deficit of around \$300k.

Dr. Riggle stated that the district used to be able to piggy-back off of elementary districts on transportation. The elementary districts paid more than the high school district. In 2000 we the district had to go out on our own in transportation. At that time the Board agreed to subsidize transportation.

Mrs. Siena stated that now the district is break-even with transportation. We have to maintain ridership to break even.

Mr. Shein asked the administration to bring back some more information on fees.

DISCUSSION/ACTION: Update of Financial Projections

Mrs. Siena provided an update on financial projections. She distributed a new graph. She reviewed the revenue side of the projection.

Mrs. Siena reviewed assumptions as part of the projections. She explained the make-whole payments from the Glen and CPPRT in lieu of corporate income tax. General State Aid is not included in the projections for this year through 2018.

Mr. Doughty asked why grants are not funded at 100%.

Mrs. Siena stated in 2010 the State reduced a lot of payments on grants and now only fund grants at a 79% level.

Mrs. Siena reviewed the formula and escalation factor due to healthcare reform. IMRF is at 10% per year based upon actual rates. All schools are in a penalty situation to build up the IMRF pension fund from losses in the market several years ago.

Mr. Doughty asked if the district is now getting interest again on IMRF and if the district is out of penalty.

Mrs. Siena confirmed that this was correct.

Mr. Shein asked if \$13M is the district's IMRF amount.

Mrs. Siena confirmed this.

Mrs. Siena reviewed expenditures and staffing projections.

Mr. Doughty asked if the change in Northfield Township no longer occupying the space at 3801 West Lake had an impact on the budget.

Mrs. Siena stated that the district will lose the rents that we were receiving from the tenant, but will be able to regain space. She stated that the good news is when district occupancy in the building reached 80%; the district received a property tax exemption. This resulted in \$60k savings, but we have not seen a decrease in the tax bill yet.

Mr. Martin asked about the first line on the expenditure side of 3%.

Mrs. Siena reviewed the staffing formula calculation used in the projections in response to Mr. Martin's question.

Mr. Martin asked if the new contracts altered the formula.

Mrs. Siena stated that there is no formula in the newly bargained contracts.

Mr. Martin asked Mrs. Siena to highlight changes in assumptions made over time.

Mrs. Siena stated that she will do this when presenting the budget.

Mr. Martin asked about substantial changes.

Mrs. Siena stated that C.P.I. drives a large percentage of the budget. Enrollment has a large impact, as well.

Mrs. Siena stated that enrollment projections show significant growth at GBS. This is over a 25 teacher increase by staffing formula.

Dr. Riggle pointed out that the assumptions will change once the collective bargaining agreements are known. When the budget is presented the assumption changes will be highlighted.

Mr. Doughty asked about General State Aid relative to the district not expecting it.

Mrs. Siena explained the emerging State philosophy that flat grant districts should be cut in GSA.

Mr. Doughty asked what represented an 80% funding level for GSA.

Mrs. Siena stated that this will be reduced to under \$1M if GSA is funded at 80%.

Mr. Boron asked where State aid goes in the budget.

Mrs. Siena stated that this goes to the education fund.

Mrs. Siena reviewed the financial projection chart.

Mrs. Siena stated that the good news is that the referendum promise of ten years through 2016 will be kept with the projection line being 10% above the 33% funding level required by Board policy. She stated that the chart does not illustrate any gains as a result of collective bargaining.

Mrs. Siena reviewed the targeted expenditure reductions included in the projection.

Mr. Doughty asked if attrition is included in the projections.

Mrs. Siena stated that this is included for 2013, but there are no staffing reductions planned for the future.

Mr. Shein asked if the \$1M reduction is in the chart.

Mrs. Siena confirmed this and related this amount to efficiencies and other reductions.

Mr. Shein asked what brought the district to \$1M.

Dr. Riggle stated that the \$1M can be part of further staff reductions. The administration did not feel that we wanted to look at the \$1M in terms of staff reductions because of the anxiety this will cause. He stated that the district is looking at things like energy reduction. The \$1M was given last April, but the administration plans to stay where we are with staffing. Depending on how bargaining agreements go there may or may not be a need to reduce staffing. The administration will bring the Board some reductions in the area of the Xerox print contract and energy savings.

Mr. Boron asked if the administration was figuring on triennial reassessments as part of revenue projections.

Mrs. Siena confirmed that this was the case.

Mr. Boron stated that he had asked for the additional chart as part of the Finance Committee discussion.

Mrs. Siena stated that she pushed out salaries and benefits at a percentage of operating revenue in the chart.

Mr. Boron stated that the numbers do not account for the increase in enrollment at GBS.

Mr. Shein asked what the district gets in State aid per student.

Mrs. Siena stated that the rate is \$218 per student.

Mr. Shein asked about funding based upon ADA.

Mrs. Siena stated that because we are a flat grant district, more students do not mean greater State aid.

Mr. Doughty asked if the percentage increase is largely driven by more staff.

Mrs. Siena stated that this is the full formula going out over time and a 9% escalator on pension and benefits.

Mr. Doughty asked if staffing increases were included in the projections.

Mrs. Siena confirmed that this was the case.

Mr. Shein pointed out that as non-personnel costs are reduced, the percentage of the budget represented by personnel increases.

Mr. Martin asked when personnel costs are at 84-85% of budget, what is said to an outside person who states that this is too high.

Mrs. Siena stated that personnel costs are around 79-80%.

Mr. Martin asked what would happen if personnel increases beyond 80% and we can't achieve a reduction through collective bargaining.

Dr. Riggle stated that the district would have to start reducing programs if we cannot reach reductions through bargaining.

Mrs. Siena stated that financial information was shared with the associations and they understood it.

Mr. Martin asked for an explanation for the drop in the percentage in 2009-2010.

Mrs. Siena explained that this was related to the timing on the tax revenue percentage distribution.

DISCUSSION/ACTION: REVISIONS TO THE 2013-2014 SCHOOL YEAR CALENDAR

Dr. Williamson presented a revised 2013-2014 school calendar showing the addition of late arrival professional development days.

Mr. Martin asked if these days are in the teachers' contract.

Dr. Riggle explained that the schools have to bank minutes to have late arrival days and these are not in the teachers' contract. He explained banked minutes. Dr. Riggle reviewed the shift of one late arrival day from May to October. There are eight late arrival days in the calendar. Often, these days coincide the same week as a faculty meeting to maximize staff development time.

Mr. Martin asked how long there have been eight late arrival days in the calendar.

Dr. Riggle provided the history of moving from four to six and then to eight days. These days have been monitored to see if they are being used effectively.

Dr. Wegley stated that there have been conversations about adding days, but moving the May meeting to October will be more valuable for the schools.

Mr. Martin asked how these days affect the block schedule compared to the GBS eight period schedule.

Dr. Wegley stated that classes at GBS are only 35 minutes long on late-arrival days, but are much longer at GBN on late arrival days.

Mr. Martin asked how this impacts the block schedule.

Mr. Pryma stated that it is difficult to balance A and B-Days in developing the schedule.

This item will be on the consent agenda for the next meeting.

DISCUSSION/ACTION: PTAB UPDATE

Mrs. Siena provided an update on property tax refunds. She recapped how property owners have the right to appeal property taxes. She explained that the district is notified if a tax payer appeals to Property Tax Appeals Board (PTAB) or a taxpayer can go through the Cook County Circuit Court and file an intervention through the State's Attorney. The State's Attorney has discretion on whether cases will be heard.

Mrs. Siena provided an update on the amount of money refunded over the last 12 years. The district has lost \$27M. She stated that in the budget negative revenue is budgeted in anticipation of these refunds.

Mr. Doughty asked if all of the refunds were represented by the \$27M.

Mrs. Siena confirmed that this was the case. There is approximately \$1M to \$1.5M per year in refunds. This depends on how many cases have been pushed through the Board of Review.

Mr. Doughty asked Mrs. Siena if she varied the percentage every year.

Mrs. Siena stated that it is pretty consistent at \$1.5M per year.

Mr. Shein stated that the charts are showing nothing under \$2M. He asked Mrs. Siena for clarification regarding the \$1M to \$1.5M figure.

Mrs. Siena stated that some years will be bigger than others.

Mr. Shein asked Mrs. Siena why she wouldn't budget \$2.1M if that is what it has been.

Mr. Boron agreed with Mr. Shein's point.

Mrs. Siena stated that going to \$2.1M is too aggressive and will impact the projection unrealistically.

Mr. Doughty asked if this is the average, why not use it?

Mrs. Siena stated that she has been doing this since 1999 and has not been that far off.

Mr. Boron asked if there are more appeals going in a triennial year.

Mrs. Siena stated that this depends upon the distribution of residential or commercial property.

Mr. Doughty stated that the average is \$2.1 M but Mrs. Siena is accounting for a loss at \$1.5 M. He stated that he did not understand this.

Mrs. Siena stated that she will provide a recap of how we did compared budget in this area.

Dr. Riggle stated that there is a backlog of PTAB cases and cases in the circuit court. If the appeal sits more than twelve months, the taxpayer automatically gets what they want.

Mrs. Siena stated that over 1400 cases have been backlogged. She explained how the district intervenes on behalf of the elementary districts.

MISCELLANEOUS TOPICS

Mr. Shein had asked Dr. Riggle for an update on security following the Sandy Hook school shooting.

Dr. Riggle stated that the conversation regarding school security has been going on for a long time. He reviewed the history of security review following the Columbine school shooting. Dr. Riggle stated that the FBI has taken these situations and done an assessment to develop a profile. Dr. Riggle cited some of the findings from this work:

1. Violence is a complex issue with complex causes and consequences. It is difficult to predict who will commit acts of violence.
2. Some signs of violent behavior are easier to identify, such as threats.
3. The overall level of violence in schools has fallen over the last several years.
4. Schools must respond to all threats swiftly, fairly, responsibly and understand that all threats are not equal.
5. A threat assessment should be done to determine if the person has the means to carry out the threat.

Dr. Riggle stated if there is a threat made against the school that the administration pursues it using the school psychologist and police liaison officer. The schools work closely with the police departments and follow the lead of our police departments.

The schools have put in a security system where visitors must present their driver's license before entry will be allowed. The police liaison will meet a visitor at the door if there are issues. Since 2008 all external doors have electronic locks. Only the visitor door can be entered during the school day.

Dr. Riggle stated that following the September 11th terrorist attacks, this caused the schools to rethink security again.

School security was kept in mind as constriction projects were completed. The schools have added security cameras on the outside. The district is currently looking at a reciprocal agreement where local law enforcement can access the cameras. There was a new entrance constructed at GBN in 2008 and a new entrance completed at GBS last summer.

The State of Illinois requires safety drills. The schools have been doing these for years. They practice lock-down procedures.

There have been full security reviews twice in the last eight years. SSCRMP conducts reviews and trainings. The fire and police departments have scale diagrams of the schools.

Dr. Riggle stated that with every school shooting that occurs the administrative teams talk about the elements of that shooting. Dr. Riggle provided detail of meetings held with the administration and local police departments following the Sandy Hook shooting. He shared efforts made by local police to increase patrols following this incident. At these meetings they discussed security concerns, communications and a safety audit to be done later in the year. He provided details regarding certain aspects that the safety audit would consider. Crisis intervention plans and facilities were reviewed. The elementary districts participated in these meetings as well. Crisis plans were shared among Northfield Township schools in an effort of trying to learn from each other. There will be a possible table-top simulation in Northbrook.

Dr. Riggle stated that procedurally we have felt solid, but at the recent Facility Committee meeting some things were identified to make us safer, but sometimes some things cannot be done because of fire code and other regulations. Dr. Riggle provided some examples. He stated that the schools will look at their electronic lock systems because the technology gets better every year. The district takes school security very seriously.

Dr. Wegley echoed Dr. Riggle's comments.

Mr. Taub asked if the level of psychological counseling is sufficient at the schools.

Mr. Pryma gave examples of how the schools are working with Erica's Lighthouse and Alyssa's Mission. He referenced an article in the Oracle last year.

Dr. Riggle referenced the wellness initiative that is looking at mental health issues.

Dr. Williamson provided a brief report regarding the student wellness initiative and what is being looked at.

Mr. Doughty asked Dr. Riggle about a forum in which community members could join the conversation that the schools are having with regard to security.

Dr. Riggle stated that the schools have had conversations with Parent Associations and booster organizations where concerns are reviewed. He stated that elementary parents have different concerns. The students are of a different age and different mobility. Dr. Riggle stated that the district will have to

explore ways to expand the opportunity for community members to join the conversation.

Mr. Taub stated that it sounds like there is a high level of awareness, but if families are stressed and there are financial issues parents have their own set of problems. Mr. Taub suggested hiring more psychologists to help.

Dr. Wegley described suicide screenings given at GBS to identify students.

Dr. Riggle explained the problem solving team process. He raised the issue of exploring additional programs that can be brought in, in addition to what has been successful.

Mr. Martin asked if the schools kept data over the last 20 years relative to suicides or attempted suicides of students or alumni. He asked if there is data from the last 5 years.

Dr. Riggle stated that the district has not kept active statistics and explained that this would be difficult to do because of privacy issues.

Dr. Wegley referenced a question from the Illinois Youth Survey related to suicide.

The Board discussed some known suicide numbers from the recent past.

Mr. Martin stated that the discussion about safety and mental health is a difficult conversation. Mr. Martin asked why we are concerned about the mental health problem of our students and the basis for saying that we have a problem of safety.

Dr. Riggle stated that the schools' discipline statistics would not indicate a safety problem.

Mr. Martin stated that it is difficult to determine the numbers of students who need a psychologist. He asked if there are any surprise drills where students don't know what is happening in advance.

Mr. Pryma described unannounced tornado and fire drills. Lock-downs are done both ways. He cited the importance of making sure that drills occur during lunch and passing periods too.

Dr. Regalbutto asked if when the administration learns something if they circle back with the students to teach them to go back to behavioral things. She asked if the administration will go back to students with specific instances.

Dr. Riggle stated that when the schools are on lockdown the police are walking through to help report back to us. After the New Town shooting there is a higher level of seriousness among students. He gave an example of where GBN students would not open the door for him.

Dr. Riggle reviewed the logistical problem in using the April 29 Board meeting for seating of Board members. Organizational meeting dates will be discussed at the next Board meeting.

MOTION TO MOVE INTO CLOSED SESSION

Motion by Dr. Regalbuto, seconded by Mr. Doughty to move into closed session at approximately 9:04 p.m. to consider the appointment, employment, compensation, discipline, performance, or dismissal of specific employees of the public body or legal counsel for the public body, including hearing testimony on a complaint lodged against an employee of the public body or against legal counsel for the public body to determine its validity; collective negotiating matters between the public body and its employees or their representatives, or deliberations concerning salary schedules for one or more classes of employees; student disciplinary cases; and litigation, when an action against, affecting or on behalf of the particular public body has been filed and is pending before a court or administrative tribunal, or when the public body finds that an action is probable or imminent, in which case the basis for the finding shall be recorded and entered into the minutes of the closed meeting (Section 2(c) (1), (2), (9), and (11) of the Open Meeting Act).

Upon calling of the roll:

aye: Boron, Doughty, Hanley, Martin, Regalbuto, Shein, Taub

Nay: none

Motion carried 7-0.

The Board returned to open session at 11:20 p.m.

ACTION REGARDING MATTERS DISCUSSED IN CLOSED SESSION

Motion by Mr. Boron, seconded by Mr. Doughty to accept the recommendation of the MDRC regarding student #02-25-13-01, as modified by the Board in closed session.

Upon calling of the roll:

aye: Boron, Doughty, Hanley, Martin, Regalbuto, Shein, Taub

nay: none

Motion carried 7-0.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion by Mr. Martin, seconded by Dr. Regalbuto to adjourn the meeting at approximately 11:22 p.m.

Upon call for a vote on the motion, all present voted aye.*

Motion carried 7-0.

* Boron, Doughty, Hanley, Martin, Regalbuto, Shein, Taub

CERTIFIED TO BE CORRECT:

PRESIDENT - BOARD OF EDUCATION

SECRETARY - BOARD OF EDUCATION

UPCOMING BOARD MEETINGS:

Upcoming meetings will be held at
Glenbrook North High School
Library
2300 Shermer Road
Northbrook, IL 60062

Wed, Feb 27, 2013	7:30am	Facility Com Mtg (GBS Rm 128)
Mon, Mar 11, 2013	7:00pm	Reg Board Mtg (GBN Library)
Mon, Mar 18, 2013	7:00pm	Reg Board Mtg (GBN Library)