

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING,
BOARD OF EDUCATION, SCHOOL
DISTRICT #225, COOK COUNTY,
ILLINOIS, February 22, 2010**

A regular meeting of the Board of Education, School District No. 225 was held on Monday, February 22, 2010, at approximately 7:33 p.m. at the Glenbrook High Schools Administration Building, pursuant to due notice of all members and the public.

The president called the meeting to order. Upon calling of the roll, the following members answered present:

Boron, Martin, Regalbuto, Shein, Taub, Wolfson

Absent: Hammer (arrived 7:45 p.m.)

Also present: Bean, Caliendo, Eike, Pryma, Riggle, Siena, Wegley, Williamson

APPROVAL OF AGENDA FOR THIS MEETING

Motion by, Mr. Wolfson, seconded by Dr. Regalbuto to approve the agenda for this meeting.

Upon calling of the roll:

aye: Boron, Martin, Regalbuto, Shein, Taub, Wolfson

nay:

Motion carried 6-0.

The Board acknowledged the student photographer from the GBS Oracle who was on hand to take photos at the Board meeting.

RECOGNITION OF COMMUNITY VISITORS

None.

BOARD AND SUPERINTENDENT REPORTS

Dr. Riggle reported that the talent in both schools' variety shows was amazing. The shows are different in format. Mr. Bean, GBS Dean of Students, principal Wegley and Board member, Mr. Wolfson were recognized for their performances in the variety shows.

Dr. Riggle stated that today is the first day for try-outs for the Glenbrook musical *Rent*. GBN will host the musical this year.

Dr. Riggle mentioned that GBN alumnus, John Park, is a contestant on *American Idol*. Mr. Boron stated that all of the contestants have Face Book pages and John has the largest number of members on his Face Book page.

Dr. Riggle reported that there are two speed skaters from Glenview, Brian Hansen and Lana Gehring, competing in the winter Olympics. Gehring's relay team qualified for the finals.

Mr. Boron gave an update on the GBN variety show. He also attended the GBN pancake breakfast for Haiti that raised nearly \$6,000. Not only were GBN students working, Quest donated all of the food and asked their staff to volunteer to come and work with the students for the fundraiser. He acknowledged that Quest has been a great partner since they came on board.

Mr. Pryma stated that the Highland Baking Company donated bread for the fundraising breakfast. Mr. Taub also attended the breakfast. He stated that the students are amazing - whatever they are challenged to do they can get done.

Mr. Boron reported that GBN's drum major last year, Brooke Atlas, will be the new drum major at the University of Illinois next year.

MOTION TO APPROVE CONSENT AGENDA

Motion by Mr. Boron, seconded by Mr. Wolfson to approve the following items on the consent agenda.

- 1.) the appointment of the following educational support staff contained in memorandum by the assistant superintendent for human resources dated February 17, 2010.

APPOINTMENTS

<u>NAME</u>	<u>POSITION</u>	<u>EFFECTIVE</u>	<u>SCHOOL</u>
Sotelo, David (Repl. F. Benito)	Custodian	03.02.10	GBN
Thanopoulos, Marianthi (New Position, TFT)	Technology	03.08.10	ADM

- 2.) no resignations

3.) Clark FOIA request as contained in consent agenda Item #6.3

4.) the issuance of Vendor Checks Nos. 41251 through 41438 in the amount of \$435,042.89 as listed on the attached checks register dated February 16,2010.

5.) the issuance of the electronic wire transfers for credit union, TRS, federal taxes, employee and employer portion of FICA and Medicare taxes and state taxes, and payroll check numbers 58172 through 58357, 58370 through 58444. Total checks issued were \$106,750.33. Vendor Payroll check numbers 58358 through 58369 and 58445 thru 58452 totaled \$23,203.56. With employees' Federal, State, and FICA/Medicare withholding taxes of \$837,034.11, TRS contributions of \$387,358.43 other deductions of \$358,342.16 and direct deposit of \$3,335,371.80, the gross payroll for the month of January was \$5,025,152.21. TRS employer contribution was \$49,976.57 and employer matching FICA and MED was \$139,499.71.

6.) Minutes

- a. to approve the Open Minutes from the February 8, 2010 Special Board Meeting
- b. to approve the Closed Minutes from the February 8, 2010 Special Board Meeting.
- c. to approve the Open Minutes from the February 8, 2010 Regular Board Meeting
- d. to approve the Closed Minutes from the February 8, 2010 Regular Board Meeting

7.) the Board of Education approval of the revised school calendar 2010-11 as contained in memorandum dated February 10, 2010.

8.) the Board of Education approval of the GBN Gadget Field Trip March 4-7,2010 as contained in memorandum dated February 11, 2010.

Upon calling of the roll:

aye: Boron, Hammer, Martin, Regalbuto, Shein, Taub, Wolfson

nay:

Motion carried 7-0.

DISCUSSION/ACTION: PROPOSED SCHOOL CALENDAR 2011/12

Dr. Riggle introduced the agenda item of the 2011/12 school calendar. Dr. Williamson briefly reviewed the points outlined in her cover memo regarding the rationale for bringing the calendar at this time. She highlighted some dates that are yet to be determined - late arrival days and 2011 PSAE testing dates.

Mr. Martin asked about the parameters used to establish the calendar. Dr. Riggle stated that the parameters can be open for discussion, but the Illinois School Code dictates the minimum number of student attendance days as 178 days. The Glenbrook days do not count, but are added to the teacher work calendar. Mr. Martin asked about increasing the number of student attendance days since we are at the state minimum. Dr. Riggle stated that if we increase the number school days we would need to talk to the GEA. He reported during his tenure of 12 years we have had the same number of days. Mrs. Siena stated that she knows of no salary schedule in the area that deviates from the 180 day schedule. The Board asked if we went more than the minimum number of student attendance days, do we get more state aid? Mrs. Siena indicated that increasing student attendance days will not increase state aid. Dr. Riggle stated that the contract lends itself to say that the 3 Glenbrook days can be discussed.

Mr. Martin reported that the school calendar prompted a great deal of discussion in District 34. He explained one question that arose was whether students were spending enough time in the classroom. He asked whether we have generally taken Veterans Day as a holiday. Dr. Riggle stated that we have not always taken Veteran's Day and it is up to us to decide if we want to take that day or not. If we do not take Veteran's Day we are required to implement a Veteran's Day curriculum/program on that day.

Mr. Boron asked the principals if the calendar had created problems in the past. Both Mr. Pryma and Mr. Wegley indicated there had been no problems because of the calendar.

Dr. Riggle reviewed some parameters governing the development of the calendar. He explained that the start date of school is based upon the IHSA start dates for athletic practices. If we started earlier we would lose out on some athletic competitiveness. He reported that some schools and states are starting after Labor Day. Starting too early causes more energy consumption because of increased air conditioning needs. Winter break typically has been two weeks long and we have tried to guarantee three weekends. Spring break had been set the first week of April years ago, but school Board elections take place at that time now, so we have avoided taking the first week of April

so that our constituency is in town during school board elections. Dr. Riggle expressed to the Board that we have the ability to try different things with our calendar. One recent change has been to build-in emergency days to make-up snow days during the school year.

Mr. Shein raised a question about winter break spanning the last two weeks in December. Mr. Wegley explained that it is beneficial to students to have two weeks after winter break before final exams. This gives students maximum time after break to prepare for finals so that they don't have to use part of their winter break to begin to prepare for final exams.

The Board asked about a push to insure having five full teaching days each week without holidays or special schedules. Mr. Pryma stated that in planning the calendar we try to come to some resolution to keep as many full weeks as possible during the school year.

Mr. Martin was asked to expand upon concerns raised in District 34 regarding the calendar. He stated parents have been concerned about how soon students will get out in June. Besides this, some parents want to extend classroom time. He questioned looking at holidays to preserve five-day weeks. Dr. Riggle explained that in order to hold school on some holidays, the district has to request a waiver from the state. An example of this is Columbus Day.

Mr. Martin suggested that we put on the table in the next 12 months the question of whether we as a district feel that the length of time our children spend in class is what we want it to be. He stated that many people feel that there should be more class time. Dr. Riggle stated that we can explore the issue. Dr. Regalbuto indicated that juniors and seniors have a lot of interruptions in their school days because of college visits and extracurricular activities. She expressed concern about extending the year for seniors.

The 2011/12 school calendar will be placed on the consent agenda for the March 8 Board meeting.

(See Agenda Item # 6)

DISCUSSION/ACTION: DISCIPLINE FOLLOW-UP REPORT

Dr. Riggle introduced the deans to provide a report from the committees that reviewed the student rights and responsibilities in the student/parent handbooks. He explained that the intention is to come back later with policy changes based upon the work of the committees. This is just a briefing on where the group is in the process.

Mr. Boron asked about the nature of the problem with regard to attendance referenced in the report. The deans indicated that there is no consequence with the first offense for truancies. Principal Wegley stated that a certain number of students take advantage of this situation. He explained that when students miss because of an unexcused absence they lose credit for the day and there is nothing but a contact home to dissuade them from cutting class.

The Board asked about the current iPod policy. The deans explained that students can use iPods outside of the classroom. Currently cell phones are being piloted under the same policy as iPods. This allows students to contact parents in between classes. Dr. Riggle explained that the administration wanted the policy to change so that students could ultimately use these devices for educational purposes. He shared a story where a student used her iPhone to create a movie file and send it to her teacher. However, we still have to teach students appropriate behavior with regard to these devices. Mr. Wegley explained that under the old policy we used to confiscate the phone. Now we don't take the phone, unless there is a reason to take the phone. Mr. Wolfson asked if the phones have created any academic honesty issues. Mr. Wegley stated that it has been a transparent change. Academic honesty issues have not changed.

Dr. Riggle stated that there is no need for action on this item this evening.

(See Agenda Item # 7)

DISCUSSION/ACTION: BOARD POLICY 7270-GRADE POINT AVERAGE

Dr. Riggle presented a revised version of the policy based upon changes suggested by Mr. Boron. Dr. Riggle reviewed changes in comparison to the original draft of the policy. Additional small changes in policy were suggested. The Board asked about the impact of the elimination of class rank. Dr. Riggle state it has helped in general. The only concern with the elimination of class rank has been when it is requested on scholarship applications, but we have not encountered anything that we haven't been able to work out on an individual basis. The Board asked if there is less pressure on the students and a more collegial environment among students. Mr. Wegley stated that it puts students on more even footing. The Board asked if there had been an increase in the number of students accepted to colleges. Dr. Riggle reported that our students have had more success at schools like Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, Wisconsin, and Bradley. Dr. Riggle stated that the administration will make the suggested

revisions bring the policy on the consent agenda for the March 8 meeting.

(See Agenda Item # 8)

DISCUSSION/ACTION: STUDENT FEES

Dr. Riggle stated based on Board discussion from the last meeting the administration brought back some changes in student fees. He mentioned a proposed increase that was not discussed last time - an increased driver education fee from \$250 to \$350 for behind-the-wheel. Mrs. Siena reviewed the 50% reduction in bus fee for the second rider in the family. Mr. Boron had posed a question prior to the Board meeting regarding the deficit that would be incurred and subsidized by the district if this change was implemented. Mrs. Siena explained that all but one family with multiple riders at GBS are on free or reduced lunch, so the majority of GBS families with multiple riders have the cost of bus absorbed by the district. Mrs. Siena provided an estimate of the deficit that would be incurred by this change from the original recommendation on bus fees.

Mrs. Siena reviewed the waiver regarding driver education behind-the-wheel that allows the district to charge a maximum of \$350 for this segment of driver education. She explained that currently only 20% of this fee covers the behind-the-wheel expense.

Mr. Boron asked about the average cost of a traditional class compared to a driver education class. Dr. Riggle stated that it is difficult to compare how Driver Education compares to other programs. Regardless of what is charged, we still have the teaching expense. He referenced a review completed in 2002-03 that showed the two most expensive departments in the district are Driver Education followed by Broadcasting. He stated, in general, Driver Education is three times more expensive to run than an average classroom. Mr. Boron commented that even with the increase in the behind-the-wheel fee we are still in a deficit with regard to this program. Dr. Riggle added that in 2008 the state increased driving time which increased cost.

Mr. Hammer expressed concern that if we raise the fee, students will go to private agencies for Driver Education. Mrs. Siena indicated that the new proposed fee is still much less than what private driving schools charge. In polling other school districts we are low in comparison. The new waiver available allows districts to exceed the \$350 cap originally established.

The Board asked if the district can go back and ask for a higher waived amount. Mrs. Siena explained that we can't apply for a new waiver until our waiver expires in 2014. The Board asked about behind-the-wheel being required for graduation. The

administration explained that this is not required. Low income students have this fee waived. There are advantages to families in terms of insurance costs when students take the behind-the-wheel class.

Mrs. Siena stated that she needs the Board to approve fees this evening, especially the bus fee as the early bus sign-up will be available to families in April and a \$25 early registration discount applies. President Shein reiterated that the Board has to vote on fees this evening.

The Board suggested removing the \$25 discount for early bus registration and impose a late fee after a certain time and that we can't guarantee students a spot on the route if they don't pay by a certain date. Mrs. Siena explained that families have to register early so we can determine routes. Early registration creates an efficiency with getting early routing going. Mrs. Siena estimated the small savings to the district if the early registration discount is removed. She stated that the most important issue with bus is the need to increase ridership.

Mr. Hammer requested more information regarding Driver Education before taking a vote. He stated that this was a change to this agenda item since the last meeting.

Dr. Riggle asked the Board if there was consensus on most fees. The Board further discussed the parking fee including options for a tiered level of parking as a means to help subsidize bus transportation. Concerns were expressed by some members about creating a "have's" and "have not's" culture. Mrs. Siena stated that the parking fee covers the maintenance of lots and security costs.

Mr. Shein asked for a motion on all fees except transportation and Driver Education.

MOTION TO APPROVE STUDENT FEES EXCEPT FOR DRIVER EDUCATION AND TRANSPORTATION.

Motion by Mr. Boron, seconded by Mr. Wolfson to approve student fees except transportation and Driver Education.

Upon calling of the roll:

aye: Boron, Hammer, Martin, Regalbuto, Shein, Wolfson

nay: Taub

Motion carried 6-1.

President Shein called for a motion on the Driver Education fee.

MOTION TO APPROVE THE DRIVER EDUCATION FEE.

Motion by Mr. Wolfson, seconded by Dr. Regalbuto to approve the Driver Education fee.

Some Board members expressed concern about raising this fee. Mr. Hammer requested information regarding what other school districts are charging for behind-the-wheel. Others stated that in reviewing the percent of the program costs that this fee covers and the fact that costs have gone up they believe the increase is warranted.

A concern was expressed in the more we raise fees the fewer students will participate in this program. The sustainability of the Driver Education program was questioned. The benefits of this program to students on fee and reduced lunch were emphasized with regard to continuing to support this program.

Mr. Hammer suggested that since the increase in Driver Education fee to \$350 was presented for the first time this evening that the Board wait until the next meeting to act on this fee.

President Shein called for a vote on the motion.

Upon calling of the roll:

aye: Boron, Regalbuto, Shein, Taub, Wolfson

nay: Hammer, Martin

Motion carried 5-2.

President Shein called for a motion on the transportation fee.

MOTION TO APPROVE THE TRANSPORTATION FEE.

Motion by Dr. Regalbuto, seconded by Mr. Wolfson to approve the transportation fee.

Some Board members expressed concern about not raising the student transportation fee and providing a discount to families with a second student. The deficit created by the proposed fee is an issue. The \$25 discount provided to families as an incentive to register early may not improve ridership. Some believed the original proposal to increase transportation costs would not have a negative impact on ridership.

Dr. Riggle stated that transportation has been a difficult issue for the Board to work through. The Board's heart is in the right

place with regard to the current economy and the impact on parents, students and tax payers.

Mr. Martin requested a broader macro discussion of the budget at future board meetings. Dr. Riggle stated in our normal budget cycle there will be more global discussions as we develop the budget. He indicated that the administration will be sure to shape future budget discussions in a broader context and provide our general approach to the budget. Mr. Martin suggested discussing a flat reduction as Mr. Shein had suggested. Mr. Shein expressed the need to look at total expenditures and trust the administration to work within the level that is set.

Dr. Riggle indicated as we approach the end of March the Board will see things coming from the administration with regard to the budget process. If future budget discussions are not global enough we can further explore the global discussion. He stated that we have a shared vision with regard to reducing expenditures and showing improved financial projections.

Mr. Wolfson stated that the district will know in March what we are getting from the State of Illinois. He suggested things may look better than the conservative projections indicated.

Dr. Riggle indicated that we will reach a number the board is comfortable with in terms of the number the budget will be. Mr. Taub expressed the importance of being creative in proposing budget reductions.

Mrs. Siena reminded the Board that at the last meeting it was mentioned that the administration is working on an aggressive budget reduction plan. She stated that the administration will address the big picture with regard to budget reductions.

Dr. Riggle emphasized the importance of not panicking because we don't want to damage the quality of education for our students in reviewing reductions.

President Shein called for a vote on the motion.

Upon calling of the roll:

aye: Hammer, Regalbuto, Shein, Taub, Wolfson

nay: Boron, Martin

Motion carried 5-2.

DISCUSSION/ACTION: CONVENIENCE FEES

Mrs. Siena stated that more services are coming online and this is the way our constituency would like to do business. However, as a public body that uses taxpayer dollars, we have been absorbing credit card fees. Mrs. Siena proposed one simple rate of \$2.50 per transaction to relieve the district of the credit card fee burden. This new fee needs to be added to student fees. Mrs. Siena shared a screen shot from an online payment program that provided the rationale for the convenience fee.

The Board asked about the number of transactions that occur online. Mrs. Siena stated there are a number of webstore sales. She requested that the Board take action on this item tonight.

The Board asked about the percent that credit card companies charge as a transaction fee. Mrs. Siena explained it is based upon a percent of the purchase amount. She explained that 35% of the total credit card fees come from food service. However, Quest covers free and reduced lunches for students, so we have agreed to absorb the credit card fees. Mrs. Siena suggested the convenience fee will serve as an incentive to put a greater amount of money on students' lunch cards.

Dr. Riggle stated that the basic concept is transferring this convenience fee to the user. If the Board does not support this, we need to reimburse some people for fees that we already collected.

The point was raised that people get mileage or points for using their credit cards, so this should be a wash. The Board asked about bursar fees. Mrs. Siena explained that the majority of these fees are small. The Board expressed concern about a \$2.50 fee for small dollar items. Mrs. Siena explained that the convenience fee must be set uniformly in the registers. The Board expressed that we have just significantly increased parking fees, reinstated the towel and materials fee and now will be subsidizing the buses. The transaction fee is far in excess of our percentage cost on some lower priced items.

Board members were concerned that this fee had not been brought to them prior to implementation. Dr. Riggle stated that the administration will take responsibility for not bringing this fee to the Board. He explained that we can't build the cost of the credit card fee into the overall fees because federal law does not allow this and this does not apply to all fees if parents pay by check or cash. The question is whether the taxpayers of the district should bear the cost of the credit card fees. The Board suggested the possibility of retroactively approving this fee. President Shein asked the Board about their consensus in approving this fee retroactively. There was consensus on the

Board in support of this fee. It was suggested that the district consult legal counsel to determine if retroactive approval is an option.

Dr. Riggle suggested sending an *Alert Now* notification to parents regarding the \$2.50 fee.

President Shein recommended procedurally placing convenience fees on consent for the next Board meeting.

(See Agenda Item # 10)

DISCUSSION/ACTION: CERTIFIED STAFFING FOR 2010/11 SCHOOL YEAR

Dr. Riggle provided an historical perspective on certificated staffing and would like to prepare this for the consent agenda at the next Board meeting. He explained that there are three categories, non-tenured rehired or let go, and making decisions on tenure. The district is required by the Illinois School Code to inform certificated staff of their employment status for next year by 60 days before the end of the school year. We are looking for an overall increase of 1.2 FTE, based upon enrollment increases. Dr. Riggle expressed that we have concerns about the budget, but need more time if we go forward with reduction in staffing.

The Board asked about maintaining the same staffing ratios of 23:1 as the basis of the formula. Dr. Riggle explained that the average class size based upon formula would be 23:1.

Mr. Martin asked about the contract limits on staffing. He suggested next year the Board look at certified staffing in the future. Dr. Riggle explained that we will go to staff as one of the last things we would look at in terms of budget reductions. We would need to take proper time to study this relative to future budget projections.

Dr. Riggle reviewed the staff cuts prior to passing the referendum and those that would have occurred if the referendum had not passed.

Mr. Martin expressed that given staff is a big part of the budget, it should be reviewed; however, it is too late at this time to make any adjustments for next year. He suggested going forward that we examine our assumptions with regard to staffing. Mr. Martin questioned whether the Board should continue to be committed to this staffing model.

Dr. Riggle explained that the Board had a philosophical discussion pre-referendum to restore FTE and avoid future reductions. The three overarching promises made with the referendum were to not come back to the community for additional funding for at least 10 years, to complete proposed facility work and to free up dollars in the operating fund to restore and maintain the staffing formula. Some money was also established to address needs in athletics and student activities. Mr. Wegley expressed that these efforts are what makes the Glenbrooks the Glenbrooks.

Mr. Martin suggested that the administration will need to continue to make a case for this staffing model. He stated that we are not facing the same economy that we did in 2005.

Mr. Boron explained that the economy we faced in 2005 was worse than today. He suggested bringing out some of the memos from the past that would help with the historical perspective.

Dr. Riggle will gather past documents in providing a summary of staffing history.

Certified staffing will be on the consent agenda for the next Board meeting.

(See Agenda Item # 11)

DISCUSSION/ACTION: BOARD COMMITTEES

Dr. Riggle introduced this topic and provided the policy regarding Board committees that was revised last year. The policy defines the purpose and function of Board committees. He explained that the Open Meetings Act (OMA) suggests that any committee created by the Board constitutes a potential open meeting. Any time there is a majority of a quorum we must abide by OMA rules. We must keep minutes of these meetings and advertise 48 hours in advance of all meetings.

Board members on committees will serve as a filter as to how information is presented to the entire Board. As items are placed on the Board agenda, those serving as committee members can give their perspective.

Mr. Martin suggested that the administration provide a memo of the fine points of the committee meetings. Board members discussed the process by which Board members are kept informed of committee work. Some suggested that perhaps we are not effectively using committees in that much of what is discussed at the committee meeting is discussed fully again at Board meetings. Some members raised the fact that information for decision-making comes to the full Board. The Board debated the need for an

executive summary on top of committee meeting minutes. President Shein stated there is a need to have faith and trust in the people on the committees and avoid discussing everything at Board meetings.

Mr. Boron suggested that the last thing the Board should be doing on a Monday night is discussing the same details covered at the committee meeting. We need to use the committee time to filter those things out. Committee assignments have been made based upon Board members' expertise.

Dr. Riggle will provide an overview of the committee work in the minutes. Dr. Riggle stated that he preferred that committee meetings are limited to 3 Board members. We are still in compliance with OMA because we post the agenda and keep meeting minutes. He explained that we don't want any perception that the Board is doing business in committee meetings. Dr. Riggle clarified that the Policy Committee is not a Board committee, but the superintendent's committee.

(See Agenda Item # 12)

DISCUSSION/ACTION: ADVERTISING AND CORPORATE SPONSORSHIP

Dr. Riggle shared existing Board policies related to this item; however, we don't have anything that speaks to advertising. He asked for the Board's suggestions in moving forward with a policy on advertising in the schools.

Board members stated that there should be no advertising in the classroom. Some members would be comfortable with advertising in hallways or gyms as long as it is kept under the control of the Board and administration. Dr. Riggle stated he is not an advocate of naming rights. The example of Vernon Hills H.S. Rust-Oleum Stadium was given. Naming rights may be possible on an individual basis within the purview of the Board and superintendent. Dr. Riggle would not completely rule out naming rights, but suggested that we enter into naming rights with a great deal of care and caution. The policy that looks promising is one developed by Evanston Township High School.

Some Board members expressed concern about advertising in the hallways that would appeal to students as they are going to class. Questions were raised about the target audience for advertising. Currently, Pepsi is advertising on vending machines and Quest Food Service has some vendor advertising. It was suggested in these economic times we can use naming rights to help with fees and financial projections. Parameters governing naming rights such as period of time, size of advertisement and the right to terminate advertising were discussed. It was

pointed out that school publications currently contain advertising that targets students.

Consensus of the Board was that the administration develop a policy on naming rights and a policy on advertising.

(See Agenda Item # 13)

MISCELLANEOUS TOPICS

Dr. Riggle asked Technology Committee members to check their schedules for a meeting this Thursday, February 25 at 7:30 a.m. Topics to be discussed will include upcoming projects, technology budget, the new e-mail system and ServiceWise.

REVIEW AND SUMMARY OF BOARD MEETING

President Shein reviewed the following:

The Board discussed the 2011-2012 calendar which will be placed on consent for the March 8 meeting.

Changes to the GPA policy were approved.

The report on discipline will come back with recommendations for policy changes. The Board heard about aligning the cell phone and iPod policies.

The Board had consensus regarding a credit card processing fee, but needs to get information from our attorney to approve the fee retroactively.

With Board committees members have to trust each other. There should be a limit of 3 members on committee. Legally the Board is in compliance with regard to the Open Meetings Act.

The Board discussed naming rights and provided direction to the administration with regard to the development of a policy.

The policy committee has an opening for another Board member.

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

None suggested.

MOTION TO MOVE INTO CLOSED SESSION

No closed session.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion by Mr. Wolfson, seconded by Dr. Regalbuto to adjourn the meeting at approximately 11:22 p.m.

Upon call for a vote on the motion, all present voted aye.*

Motion carried 7-0.

* Boron, Hammer, Martin, Regalbuto, Shein, Taub, Wolfson

CERTIFIED TO BE CORRECT:

PRESIDENT - BOARD OF EDUCATION

SECRETARY - BOARD OF EDUCATION

UPCOMING BOARD MEETINGS:

Meetings will be held in the
Board Room (unless otherwise noted) of the
Northfield Township High School District #225
Administration Building,
1835 Landwehr Road,
Glenview, Illinois.

Monday, March 8, 2010	7:30 p.m.	Regular Board Meeting
Monday, March 22, 2010	7:00 p.m.	Special Board Meeting